arXiv:1610.07000v1 [nucl-th] 22 Oct 2016

A novel nuclear dependence of nucleon-nucleon short-range correlations

Hongkai Dai[1,2], Rong Wang[2,3,4,*] Yin Huang[3], and Xurong Chen[2]
[1] *College of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, China*
[2] *Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China*
[3] *Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*
[4] *University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*

(Dated: October 25, 2016)

A linear correlation is found between the magnitude of nucleon-nucleon short-range correlations and the nuclear binding energy per nucleon with pairing energy removed. By using this relation, the strengths of nucleon-nucleon short-range correlations of some unmeasured nuclei are predicted. Discussions on nucleon-nucleon pairing energy and nucleon-nucleon short-range correlations are made. The found nuclear dependence of nucleon-nucleon short-range correlations may shed some lights on the short-range structure of nucleus.

PACS numbers: 25.30.Fj, 25.40.Ep, 21.30.-x

I. INTRODUCTION

In nuclear shell model, nucleons undergo nearly independent motion in the mean field created by their mutually attractive potential and the residual interactions can be treated by perturbation theory. However, the hard repulsive core of nucleon-nucleon (N-N) interaction generates nucleons with momentum above the Fermi momentum when nucleons move very close together. These short-distance structures are commonly called short-range correlations (SRC). The prominent feature of N-N SRC is that the correlated pair has large relative momentum and small center-of-mass (c.m.) momentum. There is no doubt that N-N SRC is a major source of the high-momentum tail for the nucleon momentum distribution in a nucleus. Moreover, nucleon in a two-nucleon SRC pair reaches close to the repulsive core of the N-N potential, which creates a very high cold local density. A complete understanding of SRC is one of the fundamental goals of nuclear physics, which helps to complete our knowledge about the complex structure of nuclei and to probe cold dense nuclear matter[1, 2].

Details of SRC structure are beginning to be unveiled through decades of dedicated theoretical[3–13] and experimental[1, 14–22] efforts. Two types of significant experiments are the two nucleons knocked out process[1, 14–17] and the inclusive electron scattering in large $x \sim 2$ region[18–20]. When the N-N SRC pair is knocked out, two nucleons of the pair go in opposite directions with high relative momenta, leaving the remanent nucleus nearly undisturbed. Although with a very low count rate, exclusive pair knocked out experiment has advantages in studying c.m. motion of SRC pair, the relative momenta of the nucleons, types of the SRC pairs, and isospin structure of the pair. The electron inclusive cross section in the scaling region of $1.5 < x < 2$ also detects the SRC pairs by interacting with the high momentum nucleons. Although it can not distinguish types of the SRC pairs, the relative cross section in the scaling region reflects the relative strength of N-N SRC in a nucleus. So far the inclusive cross section ratios of heavy nuclei to light nuclei have been measured at SLAC and JLab[22, 23].

The quantitative measurements of inclusive cross section in the scaling[18] (independence of x and Q^2) region $1.5 < x < 2$ of different nuclei provide a good opportunity to study the nuclear dependence of SRC. The common scale quantifying the strength of N-N SRC was used to be the average nuclear density. However, the average nuclear density fails to predict the strength of N-N SRC with accuracy, because the shapes of nuclei are quite different and sometimes of great deformations from the sphere shape. Recently, the nuclear binding energy and the strong interaction energy among nucleons are used to study the nuclear dependence of the EMC effect[24]. Since there is a good linear correlation found between N-N SRC and the EMC effect, it is worthwhile to find the relations between the N-N SRC and the nuclear binding energy.

Study of the nuclear dependence of N-N SRC is of significance, which surely has a big impact on unveiling the underlying mechanism of N-N SRC. Though the N-N SRC probabilities inside various nuclei are hard to calculate so far, the first step is to find a scale which the N-N SRC scales with.

* Corresponding author: rwang@impcas.ac.cn

Nuclear binding energy is an important static property of a nucleus, which comes from the average effect of the complicated and different interactions among nucleons. How does the nucleon-nucleon attractions contribute to the formation of nucleon in the high momentum tail of the distribution? What are the primary interactions which consequence in the N-N SRC? These are the goals of the study. In this paper, we try to find a scale which can describes the N-N SRC of various nuclei.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we review some theoretical investigations and the experimental measurements of N-N SRC. In Sec. III, we introduce a modified nuclear binding energy $B^{\rm Mod}$ with the nuclear pairing effect removed. In Sec. IV, a novel linear correlation is shown between the strength of N-N SRC and the modified nuclear binding energy per nucleon. Finally, discussions and a simple summary are given in Sec. V.

II. NUCLEON-NUCLEON SHORT-RANGE CORRELATIONS

The unambiguous evidence of N-N SRC comes from the proton knocked out process in high energy scattering experiments with high momentum transfer and high missing momentum[1, 14–17]. The high missing momentum is predominantly balanced by a single recoiling nucleon, which shows strong back-to-back directional correlation of Nucleon-Nucleon pairs. The two nucleons knocked out process is not only useful to identify N-N SRC but also important to study the isospin structure of SRC pairs. The measurement of two nucleons knocked out reaction by the high energy electron probe reveals that the proton-neutron pairs are almost 20 times as prevalent as proton-proton pairs in ^{12}C[1]. Recently it is reported that p-n SRC pairs are dominant in all measured nuclei, including the neutron-rich imbalanced nuclei such as lead and iron[17]. It is also shown that the high momentum (greater than Fermi momentum) of nucleon is attributed to the correlated pairs rather than the Pauli exclusion principle, which explains the higher average proton kinetic energy in neutron-rich nuclei[25].

The primary goal of N-N SRC experiments is now to map out the strengths of the correlated dense structure in nuclei and its isospin structure. The inclusive measurement of quasielastic electron scattering in kinematic region of $x > 1$ and $Q^2 > 1$ GeV^2 is a good way to study the strength of N-N SRC[18–20]. L. L. Frankfurt et al. point out that the x-scaling relation in the region of $1.4 < x < 2$ is directly connected to the N-N SRC[18]. Assuming that the nucleon-nucleon correlations dominate in large x region ($x > 1$), the inclusive cross section of quasielastic scattering can be decomposed as

$$\sigma^A(x, Q^2) = \sum_{j=2}^{A} A\frac{1}{j} a_j(A)\sigma_j(x, Q^2), \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma_j(x, Q^2)$ is the cross section of electron scattering on the j-nucleons correlated and compact configuration ($\sigma_j(x > j, Q^2) = 0$), and $a_j(A)$ is proportional to the probability of finding a nucleon in a j-nucleons correlation. By the assumption that the probability of j-nucleons SRC drops rapidly with j, the x- and Q^2-scaling of σ^A/σ^D is expected in region of $1 < x \leq 2$. Therefore the SRC ratio $a_2 = 2\sigma^A/(A\sigma^D)$ in the scaling region is used to quantify the strength of N-N SRC in nucleus. To better study the strength of N-N SRC, R_{2N} is given by removing the smearing effect of the c.m. motion of the correlated pair[20]. The combined data of a_2 and R_{2N} measured at SLAC and JLab [23] are listed in Table I.

TABLE I. The per-nucleon nuclear binding energies and the measured SRC ratios of various nuclei are shown. The binding energies are taken from Ref. [26] and the combined data of a_2 and R_{2N} are taken from Ref. [23].

nucleus	B/A (MeV)	$B^{\rm Mod}/A$ (MeV)	a_2	R_{2N}
^{3}He	2.573	2.573	2.13±0.04	1.92±0.09
^{4}He	7.074	5.574	3.57±0.09	2.94±0.14
^{9}Be	6.463	6.463	3.91±0.12	3.37±0.17
^{12}C	7.680	7.391	4.65±0.14	3.89±0.18
^{27}Al	8.332	8.332	5.30±0.60	4.40±0.60
^{56}Fe	8.790	8.761	4.75±0.29	3.97±0.34
Cu	8.754	8.754	5.21±0.20	4.33±0.28
^{197}Au	7.916	7.916	5.13±0.21	4.21±0.26

On the theoretical side, the N-N SRC in nucleus is thought to be mainly governed by the N-N tensor force[6–13]. The high-momentum feature and the strength of N-N SRC are studied by the variational Monte Carlo method[6–8], the nucleon spectral function approach[9], the decay function formalism for two-nucleon emission reactions[10], and counting correlated pairs residing in a relative-S state with many-body correlation operator implemented[11–13]. High nucleon momentum and high local density are two basic characteristics of N-N SRC pair. The contribution of N-N SRC to the high-momentum nucleons is described by R_{2N}. If R_{2N} only sensitive to spin 1 pairs, then $R_{2N}(N_{pn} + N_{pp} + N_{nn})/N_{pn(^3S_1)}$ is a good variable for describing high local density configurations. The number of correlated pairs N_{pn}, N_{pp}, N_{nn}, and $N_{pn(^3S_1)}$ can be estimated by counting pairs in $S_{n,l=0}$ state[11–13, 27].

A linear correlation between N-N SRC and the EMC slope is found[23, 28–30], thanks to the recent measurements of very light nuclei at JLab. The EMC effect reflects the nuclear modifications of valence quark distributions inside nucleon.

The N-N overlapping configuration surely plays an important role in the measured SRC ratios and the EMC effect. The found linear correlation indicates that both effects are sensitive to the high local density or the high virtuality (momentum) of nucleon. However for heavy nuclei, the EMC-SRC correlation shows some non-linearity[29, 30]. The a_2 of ^{197}Au is slightly smaller than that of ^{56}Fe, but the EMC slope of ^{197}Au is obviously larger than that of ^{56}Fe.

III. NUCLEON-NUCLEON INTERACTION AND BINDING ENERGY

Detailed study of nuclear force is a fundamental and interesting subject in nuclear physics, which accounts for abundant properties and dynamics of nuclei. Although it is acknowledged that the N-N interaction comes from the residual strong force and the underlying theory is Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), resolving the N-N interaction from quark-gluon freedoms is still difficult because of confinement and asymptotic freedom of QCD theory. Nevertheless, there are models which can be used to describe the nuclear force effectively. The long-range attractive interaction is well studied by the π exchange process predicted by Yukawa, and the intermediate interaction is governed by 2π, ρ, ω and σ exchanges. The detailed information of N-N potential is now given by phenomenological potential from experiments[31–33], Lattice QCD calculations[34, 35], and the Chiral Perturbative Theory (ChPT)[36–39]. However, in terms of the intermediate attraction and the repulsive core at short distance, there still exist big uncertainties between different models.

A simple and straightforward description of the strength of N-N interaction in nucleus is the nuclear binding energy. Although the binding energy is a simple static quantity for nucleus, it actually depicts the average strength of nuclear force and compactness among nucleons. The nuclear binding energy is precisely measured world wide[26]. A nucleus is a perfect interacting Fermi liquid, and a simple estimation of nuclear binding energy is the semi-empirical Bethe-Weizsäcker (BW) mass formula. In order to study the short-distance structure of nucleus, we define a modified nuclear binding energy $B^{\rm Mod}$ as

$$B^{\rm Mod}(A,Z) = B(A,Z) - \delta, \tag{2}$$

where $B(A,Z)$ is the precisely measured nuclear binding energy and δ is the pairing energy in BW formula[40]. δ is given by,

$$\delta = \begin{cases} a_p A^{-1/2} & \text{(even Z, even N)} \\ 0 & \text{(odd A)} \\ -a_p A^{-1/2} & \text{(odd Z, odd N)} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

in which $a_p = 12$ MeV. The per-nucleon nuclear binding energies and the modified binding energies of the targets are listed in Table I. For copper target, both ^{63}Cu and ^{65}Cu have big natural abundances. The weighted mean binding energy and weighted modified binding energy are used for Cu target.

Nuclear pairing effect is one of the long-standing problems of nuclear structure, which was first investigated in the even-odd staggering of binding energy decades ago[41–45]. It is not a surprise that conditions for pairing are satisfied in nuclei, for the nuclear interaction between identical nucleons are attractive in S-channel. In analogy to the Cooper pair in microscopic theory of superconductivity, the nucleon pairing can be explained in Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) approximation with interaction between nucleons in conjugate states[41, 46]. However, the nuclear system is different from the superconducting metal in the finite size of the fermi gas system. The present advanced models are the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) approximation[47, 48], and the Lipkin-Nogami (LN) method[49–51], which all based on self-consistent mean-field representation. The nuclear pairing arising from mean-field effect may be not important for the N-N SRC, since short-range correlation is the rare phenomenon beyond the mean-field description.

IV. NUCLEAR DEPENDENCE OF N-N SRC

Fig. 1 shows the N-N SRC ratios as functions of the nuclear binding energy per nucleon. Roughly, the strength of N-N SRC relates with the per-nucleon binding energy. But there is no clear correlation between SRC and nuclear binding. Linear fits are performed to N-N SRC ratios versus the per-nucleon binding energy. The qualities of the fits are bad with χ^2/N_{df} = 8.9, 3.5 and 3.2 for a_2 vs. B, R_{2N} vs. B and $R_{2N}N_{total}/N_{np(^3S_1)}$ vs. B respectively. The data of ^{4}He and ^{9}Be greatly violate the linear correlation between N-N SRC and nuclear binding. The per-nucleon binding energy of ^{4}He is much larger than that of ^{9}Be, however the measured N-N SRC ratio of ^{4}He is obviously smaller than that of ^{9}Be. The general nuclear binding energy is not a good scale to describe the phenomenon of N-N SRC.

Fig. 2 shows the correlations between the N-N SRC ratios and the modified nuclear binding energy per nucleon which has the pairing energy subtracted. To our surprise, amazing linear correlations are found between the N-N SRC ratios and the modified nuclear binding $B^{\rm Mod}$. Linear fits are performed to these correlations using a formula as $a(B^{\rm Mod}/A) + b$. The obtained χ^2/N_{df} are 1.4, 0.55 and 0.59 for linear fits to a_2 vs. $B^{\rm Mod}$, R_{2N} vs. $B^{\rm Mod}$ and $R_{2N}N_{total}/N_{np(^3S_1)}$ vs. $B^{\rm Mod}$ respectively. The fitted parameters for the linear correlations are

shown in Fig. 2. The $R_{2N}N_{total}/N_{np(^3S_1)}$ value of ^{3}He is not given in the figures, for the theoretical estimation of N_{total} and $N_{np(^3S_1)}$ for ^{3}He is not realized so far. Compared to the EMC slopes, the uncertainties of modified nuclear binding energies are not included in the fits, which makes the founded correlation more important.



FIG. 1. (a) a_2 as a function of binding energy per nucleon; (b) R_{2N} as a function of binding energy per nucleon; (c) $R_{2N}N_{total}/N_{np(^3S_1)}$ as a function of binding energy per nucleon.

The found linear correlations suggest that the modified nuclear binding energy per nucleon in Eq. (2) is a good scale to quantify the strength of N-N SRC, although the underlying mechanism is not clear. Therefore a new nuclear dependence of N-N SRC can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= (0.496 \pm 0.017)\frac{B^{\rm Mod}}{A\ {\rm MeV}} + (0.849 \pm 0.072), \\ R_{2N} &= (0.389 \pm 0.027)\frac{B^{\rm Mod}}{A\ {\rm MeV}} + (0.9 \pm 0.15). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here we do not give the nuclear dependence of $R_{2N}N_{total}/N_{np(^3S_1)}$, because $R_{2N}N_{total}/N_{np(^3S_1)}$ is related to the theoretical calculations of the counting numbers of different types of N-N SRC pairs which is not a pure experimental quantity.



FIG. 2. (a) a_2 as a function of modified binding energy per nucleon; (b) R_{2N} as a function of modified binding energy per nucleon; (c) $R_{2N}N_{total}/N_{np(^3S_1)}$ as a function of modified binding energy per nucleon.

V. DISCUSSIONS AND SUMMARY

A linear correlation between N-N SRC and the modified nuclear binding with the pairing effect removed is found. The linear correlation gives a novel nuclear dependence of N-N SRC, which can be used to predict the SRC ratios of various nuclei. In the near future, new experiments of N-N SRC on light, medium and heavy nuclei will be performed at JLab[52, 53]. These measurements will provide a good opportunity to test the found correlation. The SRC ratios of ^{3}H is shown in Table II, which is quite close to that of ^{3}He. Up to date, the N-N SRC are obtained only for odd-A nuclei or nuclei of even-Z and even-N. To further study the modified nuclear binding dependence of N-N SRC, we suggest experimental measurements of nuclei of odd-N and odd-Z, such as ^{6}Li, ^{10}B and ^{14}N. The predicted SRC ratios of ^{6}Li, ^{10}B and ^{14}N are listed in Table II using Eq. (4).

Based on the linear correlation between N-N SRC and the modified nuclear binding, it is a reasonable conclusion that the pairing effect is the least cause for the observed N-N SRC. Actually, the nuclear pairing is very different from the nucleon-

TABLE II. Predictions of SRC ratios a_2 and R_{2N} of some unmeasured light nuclei by the linear correlation between N-N SRC and modified nuclear binding energy.

nucleus	B/A (MeV)	$B^{\rm Mod}/A$ (MeV)	a_2	R_{2N}
^{3}H	2.827	2.827	2.25±0.12	2.00±0.23
^{6}Li	5.332	6.148	3.90±0.18	3.29±0.32
^{10}B	6.475	6.854	4.25±0.19	3.57±0.34
^{14}N	7.476	7.705	4.67±0.21	3.90±0.36

nucleon short-range correlation for two reasons. Firstly, nuclear pairing is mainly between p-p and n-n pairs, but 90 percent of SRC correlated pairs are p-n pairs. Secondly, N-N SRC directly relates to the nucleon-nucleon overlapping wave functions, however, the nuclear pairing is a mean-field effect. The correlation length of nuclear pairing is comparable to the size of nucleus. On the one hand, the N-N SRC involves with the interaction way stronger than the nuclear pairing energy. On the other hand, the nuclear pairing is not related to the repulsive potential at short distance. Eq. (3) is based on the assumption that the pairing energies are the same for both p-p pair and n-n pair. Detailed calculation of pairing energy will give more insights about the difference between the N-N SRC and the nuclear pairing.

The N-N SRC is a noticeable nuclear phenomenon beyond the mean field description of nucleons. To understand the underlying mechanism of formation of short-range correlated pairs, we are interesting to find out the particular and direct interactions which attribute to it. Inspired by our previous work[24], both the Coulomb contribution and the pairing energy are removed from the nuclear binding energy to construct a new modified binding energy $B-\delta-B^{\rm Coul}$. However the correlation between SRC and $B-\delta-B^{\rm Coul}$ shows no improvements. Therefore the Coulomb interaction affects the formation of N-N SRC. The N-N SRC is connected to the high virtuality and the high local density. No doubt that the binding energy describes the average virtuality for nucleons. If high virtuality plays important role in N-N SRC, then the average virtuality should correlate with SRC. We speculate that the modified nuclear binding energy $B^{\rm Mod}$ is sensitive to average local density. The larger $B^{\rm Mod}$ is, the higher probability of nucleon overcoming the hard core repulsion, and then closer the nucleons come together. A dynamical balance between the repulsive core and the intermediate attraction is speculated to be important in the formation of N-N SRC pairs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of us (Rong Wang) is very grateful to Wim Cosyn for the stimulating discussions and providing us with calculations of number of N-N SRC. This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program (973 Program Grant No. 2014CB845406), and Century Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences Y101020BR0.

[1] R. Subedi et al., Science **320**, 1476 (2008).
[2] Leonid Frankfurt, Misak Sargsian, and Mark Strikman, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **23**, 2991 (2008).
[3] L. L. Frankfurt and M. I. Strikman, Phys. Lett. B **69**, 93 (1977).
[4] L. L. Frankfurt and M. I. Strikman, Phys. Rep. **76**, 215 (1981).
[5] R. Schiavilla, D. S. Lewart, V. R. Pandharipande, Steven C. Pieper, R. B. Wiringa, and S. Fantoni, Nucl. Phys. A **473**, 267 (1987).
[6] Steven C. Pieper, R. B. Wiringa, and V. R. Pandharipande, Phys. Rev. C **46**, 1741 (1992).
[7] Steven C. Pieper and R. B. Wiringa, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **51**, 53 (2001).
[8] R. Schiavilla, R. B. Wiringa, Steven C. Pieper, and J. Carlson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 132501 (2007).
[9] C. Ciofi degli Atti and S. Simula, Phys. Rev. C **53**, 1689 (1996).
[10] M. M. Sargsian, T. V. Abrahamyan, M. I. Strikman, and L. L. Frankfurt, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 044615 (2005).
[11] Maarten Vanhalst, Wim Cosyn, and Jan Ryckebusch, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 031302(R) (2011).
[12] Maarten Vanhalst, Jan Ryckebusch, and Wim Cosyn, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 044619 (2012).
[13] C. Colle, O. Hen, W. Cosyn, I. Korover, E. Piasetzky, J. Ryckebusch, and L. B. Weinstein, Phys. Rev. C **92**, 024604 (2015).
[14] J. Aclander et al., Phys. Lett. B **453**, 211 (1999).
[15] A. Tang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 042301 (2003).
[16] E. Piasetzky, M. Sargsian, L. Frankfurt, M. Strikman, and J.W. Watson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 162504 (2006).
[17] O. Hen et al., Science **346**, 614 (2014).
[18] L. L. Frankfurt, M. I. Strikman, D. B. Day, and M. Sargsyan, Phys. Rev. C **48**, 2451 (1993).
[19] K. S. Egiyan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 082501 (2006).
[20] N. Fomin et al., Phys. Rev. lett. **108**, 092502 (2012).
[21] D. W. Higinbotham, E. Piasetzky, L. Weinstein, and S. A. Wood, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **299**, 012010 (2011).
[22] J. Arrington, D. W. Higinbotham, G. Rosner, and M. Sargsian, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **67**, 898 (2012).
[23] J. Arrington, A. Daniel, D. B. Day, N. Fomin, D. Gaskell, and P. Solvignon, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 065204 (2012).
[24] Rong Wang and Xurong Chen, Phys. Lett. B **743**, 267 (2015).
[25] Misak M. Sargsian, Phys. Rev. C **89**, 034305 (2014).
[26] M. Wang et al., Chinese Physics C (HEP & NP), **36**, 1603 (2012).

[27] W. Cosyn, private communication.
[28] L. B. Weinstein, E. Piasetzky, D. W. Higinbotham, J. Gomez, O. Hen, and R. Shneor, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 052301 (2011).
[29] O. Hen, E. Piasetzky, and L. B. Weinstein, Phys. Rev. C **85**, 047301 (2012).
[30] Misak M. Sargsian, AIP Conf. Proc. **1560**, 480 (2013).
[31] V. G. J. Stoks, R. A. M. Klomp, C. P. F. Terheggen, and J. J. de Swartt, Phys. Rev. C **49**, 2950 (1994).
[32] R. B. Wiringa, V. G. J. Stoks, and R. Schiavilla, Phys. Rev. C **51**, 38 (1995).
[33] R. Machleidt, Phys. Rev. C **63**, 024001 (2001).
[34] N. Ishii, S. Aoki, and T. Hatsuda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 022001 (2007).
[35] Noriyoshi Ishii et al. (HAL QCD Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **712**, 437 (2012).
[36] E. Epelbaum, H.-W. Hammer, and Ulf-G. Meißner, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 1773 (2009).
[37] R. Machleidt and D. R. Entem, Phys. Rep. **503**, 1 (2011).
[38] E. Epelbaum, H. Krebs, and U.-G. Meißner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 122301 (2015).
[39] D. R. Entem, N. Kaiser, R. Machleidt, and Y. Nosyk, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 014002 (2015).
[40] K. Heyde, *Basic Ideas and Concepts in Nuclear Physics* (IOP, Bristol, 1999).
[41] E. Jakeman and S. A. Moszkowski, Phys. Rev. **141**, 933 (1966).
[42] D. J. Dean and M. Hjorth-Jensen, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 607 (2003).
[43] V. Zelevinsky and A. Volya, Physics of Atomic Nuclei **66**, 1781 (2003).
[44] B. S. Ishkhanov, M. E. Stepanov, and T. Yu. Tretyakov, Moscow University Physics Bulletin **69**, 1 (2014).
[45] Danilo Gambacurta and Denis Lacroix, J. Phys.: Conf. Series **580**, 012054 (2015).
[46] A. Bohr, B. R. Mottelson, and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. **110**, 936 (1958).
[47] A. L. Goodman, Adv. Nucl. Phys. **11**, 263 (1979).
[48] S. Hilaire, J.-F. Berger, M. Girod, W. Satuła, P. Schuck, Phys. Lett. B **531**, 61 (2002).
[49] Harry J. Lipkin, Ann. Phys. **9**, 272 (1960).
[50] Yukihisa Nogami, Phys. Rev. **134**, B313 (1964).
[51] M. Bender, K. Rutz, P.-G. Reinhard, and J. A. Maruhn, Euro. Phys. J. A **8**, 59 (2000).
[52] http://hallaweb.jlab.org/collab/PAC/PAC38/Tritium-isospin.pdf
[53] https://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/proposals/06/PR12-06-105.pdf